1	Debra I. Grassgreen (CA Bar No. 169978) Miriam Manning (CA Bar No. 178584) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP			
2				
3	150 California Street, 15th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111			
4	Telephone: (415) 263-7000 Facsimile: (415) 263-7010			
5	E-mail: dgrassgreen@pszjlaw.com mmanning@pszjlaw.com			
6	David J. Bradford (admitted pro hac vice)			
7	Catherine Steege (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Terri L. Mascherin (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )  Verboring Ciliberti (admitted pro hac vice)			
8	Katharine Ciliberti (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )  JENNER & BLOCK LLP  353 N. Clark St.			
9	Chicago, IL 60654 Telephone: (312) 222-9350			
10	E-mail: dbradford@jenner.com     csteege@jenner.com     tmascherin@jenner.com     kciliberti@jenner.com  Attorneys for Defendant			
11				
12				
13	Uber Technologies, Inc.			
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA		
15		CT OF CALIFORNIA SCO DIVISION		
15 16				
	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION		
16	SAN FRANCIS In re:	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)		
16 17	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB) Chapter 11		
16 17 18	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB) Chapter 11 Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB) UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S		
16 17 18 19 20 21	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB) Chapter 11 Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB) UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)  Chapter 11  Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil  Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S  MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE  ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB) Chapter 11 Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF BENJAMIN ROSE AND JOSEPH SHAW		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)  Chapter 11  Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil  Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S  MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE  ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE  TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT  REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF  BENJAMIN ROSE AND JOSEPH SHAW  Date: TBD (Pending Stipulation for OST)  Time: TBD		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)  Chapter 11  Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil  Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S  MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE  ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE  TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT  REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF  BENJAMIN ROSE AND JOSEPH SHAW  Date: TBD (Pending Stipulation for OST)  Time: TBD  Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)  United States Bankruptcy Court		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)  Chapter 11  Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil  Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S  MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE  ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE  TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT  REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF  BENJAMIN ROSE AND JOSEPH SHAW  Date: TBD (Pending Stipulation for OST) Time: TBD  Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)  United States Bankruptcy Court  450 Golden Gate Ave.  Courtroom 19, 16th Floor		
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	In re:  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI,  Debtor.  ANTHONY SCOTT LEVANDOWSKI, an individual,  Plaintiff,  v.  UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	Case No. 20-30242 (HLB)  Chapter 11  Hon. Hannah L. Blumensteil  Adv. Pro. No. 20-03050 (HLB)  UBER TECHNOLOGIES INC.'S  MOTION TO STRIKE OR, IN THE  ALTERNATIVE, MOTION IN LIMINE  TO EXCLUDE REBUTTAL EXPERT  REPORTS AND TESTIMONY OF  BENJAMIN ROSE AND JOSEPH SHAW  Date: TBD (Pending Stipulation for OST)  Time: TBD  Place: (Telephonic Appearances Only)  United States Bankruptcy Court  450 Golden Gate Ave.		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Uber Technologies, Inc. ("Uber") hereby moves this Court for an order granting its *Motion* to Strike or, in the Alternative, Motion in Limine to Exclude Rebuttal Expert Reports and Testimony of Benjamin Rose and Joseph Shaw ("Motion") on the basis that the expert reports of Benjamin Rose and Joseph Shaw are untimely and do not constitute proper rebuttal reports in that they do not address or respond to any expert report tendered by Uber. As to Mr. Rose, Uber also moves on the basis that he relies on forensic images that Mr. Levandowski refused to produce in this case.

This Motion is based on the Notice of Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the supporting declaration of Katharine Ciliberti and all exhibits thereto, the pleadings from this case on file with the Court, all matters which the Court may take judicial notice and any further evidence or argument that may be made at the hearing on the Motion.

As noted in the accompanying Notice, counsel for Uber, Mr. Levandowski and Google LLC are meeting and conferring on a stipulation asking the Court to shorten the time to brief and have the Motion heard. Uber proposes to have the Motion heard on 24 days- notice instead of 28 days-notice as required by Bankruptcy Local Rule 7007 so that a hearing may be held on April 22, 2021 at 2:00 PM.

WHEREFORE, Uber respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (1) granting the Motion in its entirety; (2) striking the reports submitted by Benjamin Rose and Joseph Shaw, or, in the alternative, enter an order in limine excluding their testimony at trial; and (3) granting Uber's such other and further relief as may be appropriate under the circumstances.

	1	Respectfully Submitted,	
GAN FRANCISCU, CALIFORNIA	2	Dated: I	March 29, 2021
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		

## PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

By: /s/ Debra I. Grassgreen
Debra I. Grassgreen
Miriam Manning

and

JENNER & BLOCK LLP David J. Bradford Catherine Steege Terri L. Mascherin Katharine Ciliberti

Attorneys for Uber Technologies, Inc.